



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CR

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,584	10/09/2001	Richard R. Van Zile	01220	6156

20879 7590 08/19/2003

EMCH, SCHAFER, SCHAUB & PORCELLO CO
P O BOX 916
ONE SEAGATE SUITE 1980
TOLEDO, OH 43697

EXAMINER

PRIDDY, MICHAEL B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3732	

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/973,584	VAN ZILE ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael B Priddy	Art Unit 3732	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2001.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 27-29 and 39-47 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-3,11,19 and 20 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 4-10,12-18,21-26 and 30-38 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, --is— should be inserted between “assembly” and “moveably”. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 30 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 11, “medical” should be –medial–. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they are drawn to non-statutory subject matter. In claim 20 line 2, applicant positively recites part of a human, i.e. "an external support attached to the tibia". Thus claim 20 includes a human within their scope and is non-statutory.

A claim directed to or including within its scope a human is not considered to be patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. The grant of a limited, but exclusive property right in a human being is prohibited by the Constitution. *In re Wakefield*, 422 F.2d 897, 164 USPQ 636 (CCPA 1970).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Harwin et al. (U.S. 6,458,135). Harwin et al. teaches a cutting guide assembly comprising a guide member 32 having a slot 110 for receiving and guiding a saw along a path defining a first plane; and an arm assembly 80 extending from said guide member, said arm assembly including (a) an arm surface engageable with the distal end of a condyle to be cut, said arm surface lying in a second plane spaced from said first plane and (b) a stylus 86 extending into said first plane to limit the extent to which a saw extending through said slot 110 can travel; further including an attachment member 68 on said guide member 32 engageable with a supplementary guide attached to a patient's tibia wherein said attachment member includes an adjustment track 64. Concerning the

limitations of claim 2, the arm of Harwin et al. is moveable in a track in the top of post 90 and it is believed that the stylus would therefore be capable of being aligned with the sulcus between a condyle to be cut and an opposing condyle when said dguide member is positioned against the lateral or medial aspect of said femur with said slot facing the lateral or medial aspect of said condyle to be cut.

Claims 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsuno et al. (U.S. 6,090,114) teaches a cutting guide assembly comprising: (a) a guide 612 having a first side with a face engageable with the medial or lateral aspect of a knee, said guide 612 including an elongated slot for receiving a saw, said elongated slot extending through said guide 612 from said first side to an opposing second side, a saw extending through said slot positioned to cut medially to laterally or laterally to medially along a cutting path when said face is so engaged, (b) a first alignment support 602 engaged to said guide 612; (c) a second alignment support 633 engaged to said guide 112; (d) a first alignment rod 624 engaged to said first alignment support 602; and (e) a second alignment rod 635 engaged to said second alignment support 633; further including a base member 626 adjustably secured to said cutting guide 612 said base member including an adjuster 629 permitting movement of said base member relative to said cutting guide medially to laterally or laterally to medially.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 6-10, 12-18 and 21-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 30-38 would be allowable if claim 30 were rewritten or amended to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action.

Claims 27-29 and 39-47 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Priddy whose telephone number is (703) 308-8620. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8 a.m. - 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Michael B. Priddy

Michael B. Priddy

July 31, 2003